# LAW OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE COOPERATION AGAINST FINANCIAL CRIME

## LAW OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE COOPERATION AGAINST FINANCIAL CRIME

Developing Information Sharing to Counter Money Laundering and Terrorism Financing

Edited by
Benjamin Vogel
Eleni Kosta
Maxime Lassalle



Intersentia Ltd 8 Wellington Mews Wellington Street | Cambridge CB1 1HW | United Kingdom

Tel: +44 1223 736 170

Email: contact@larcier-intersentia.com

www.larcier-intersentia.com

Distribution for the UK and
Rest of the World (incl. Eastern Europe)
NBN International
1 Deltic Avenue, Rooksley
Milton Keynes MK13 8LD
United Kingdom
Tel: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331

Tel: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331 Email: orders@nbninternational.com

Distribution for Europe
Lefebvre Sarrut Belgium NV
Hoogstraat 139/6
1000 Brussels
Belgium
Tel: +32 (0)2 548 07 13
Email: contact@larcier-intersentia.com

Distribution for the USA and Canada Independent Publishers Group Order Department 814 North Franklin Street

Chicago, IL 60610

USA

Tel: +1 800 888 4741 (toll free) | Fax: +1 312 337 5985

Email: orders@ipgbook.com

Law of Public-Private Cooperation against Financial Crime. Developing Information Sharing to Counter Money Laundering and Terrorism Financing © The editors and contributors severally 2024

The editors and contributors have asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as authors of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

Artwork on Cover: Adobe Stock

ISBN 978-1-83970-466-6 (Paperback) D/2024/7849/89 NUR 826

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

#### **PREFACE**

Across the European Union (EU), recent years have seen the emergence of public-private partnerships to fight money laundering and terrorism financing through information sharing between competent authorities and the private sector. Consolidating and reinforcing this trend, the new EU Anti-Money Laundering/Countering the Financing of Terrorism (AML/CFT) legal framework, which was adopted in May 2024, endorses partnerships for information sharing in the fight against financial crime, including information sharing between competent authorities and the private sector. These collaborative mechanisms are a promising innovation that can improve significantly upon conventional forms of law enforcement and intelligence-gathering. However, they can also raise profound fundamental rights issues, first of all because they put the separation between the public and private spheres - a central paradigm of the rule of law - into question. In fact, as highlighted by a US Supreme Court decision of June 2024, these issues' relevance will in the future increasingly extend beyond anti-financial crime and appear also in the digital realm, chiefly in public-private cooperation with social media companies.<sup>1</sup>

Despite its growing importance for criminal policy, public-private information sharing in the fight against financial or other crime is still rarely addressed by law or legal scholarship. This book therefore aims to fill a major gap. It contains the fruits of a collaborative research project - 'Public-Private Partnerships on Terrorism Financing' (ParTFin) - that, over the course of the last five years, has analysed the legal challenges posed by new forms of public-private cooperation in AML/CFT. Led by Benjamin Vogel at the Max Planck Institute for the Study of Crime, Security and Law, and in collaboration with the Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology and Society, the project united a group of colleagues from institutions across Europe who pursued interdisciplinary and comparative legal research with the ultimate aim of developing the contours of an adequate legal framework for public-private information sharing. The editors were fortunate to work with such a highly committed group of colleagues who, through several workshops and countless discussions, generously shared their knowledge and mutually supported each other's work.

Intersentia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Murthy v. Missouri, 603 U.S. \_\_\_ (2024).

The collaborators owe a particular debt of gratitude to numerous experts from competent authorities and financial institutions who provided in-depth insights into information-sharing partnerships and into the wider practice of joint public-private efforts in AML/CFT. Without their generosity, it would have been impossible to understand the various emerging forms of cooperation and, on this basis, translate them into legal concepts. The usually very open and constructive atmosphere of these interactions underscored the need for, as well as the feasibility of, greater engagement between legal scholars, authorities and the private sector in designing sustainable policies to respond to today's challenging European security environment - that is, solutions that are both effective and not prejudicial to fundamental rights. In light of this experience, the editors believe that the differences that characterise often-antagonistic relationship between legal scholarship - first and foremost data protection lawyers - and security practitioners can and should be bridged by fostering constructive dialogue that allows each side to understand and accommodate the legitimate concerns of the other, thereby jointly contributing to a strengthening of the rule of law.

In addition, the editors are profoundly thankful to the many individuals who helped this project to come to fruition. Particular thanks are due to Jean-Baptiste Maillart for his contribution to the initial research concept, and to Bertil Vagnhammar and Petar Kovachev of the European Commission – DG Home Affairs and Migration, who were a constant and reliable help in the project management, especially during the difficult days of the Covid-19 pandemic. Furthermore, this book would not have been possible without the outstanding work of the copy editors Kiehlor Mack and Joe McClinton, as well as the wonderful team at Intersentia, in particular Francesca Ramadan, Rebecca Moffat and Rebecca Bryan.

Last but not least, the editors are grateful for the funding of ParTFin by the EU's Internal Security Fund – Police,<sup>2</sup> which early on decided to support academic research on the emerging practices of public–private information sharing. The editors are equally grateful that they were given the opportunity to share and discuss the project's findings and recommendations with policymakers and competent authorities from across the EU, notably through a workshop in Brussels in autumn 2021 and another in Rome in spring 2023. It is to be welcomed that the recently adopted new EU AML/CFT legal framework acknowledges the need to put information sharing on a sound legal basis. The editors hope that the present study will not only stimulate further research on these matters, but

Vi Intersentia

The content of this book represents the views of the authors only and is their sole responsibility. The European Commission does not accept any responsibility for use that may be made of the information it contains.

also provide legislators, courts and competent authorities with a starting point for further developing and solidifying EU and national legal frameworks for public–private cooperation in AML/CFT, and possibly also with inspiration for developing the law in other areas, including the collaboration between the public sector providers of digital services.

Benjamin Vogel, Eleni Kosta and Maxime Lassalle Freiburg/Tilburg/Dijon, July 2024

Intersentia

### **CONTENTS**

| Pre | eface   |
|-----|---|
| Lis | t of Authors xiii   |
| Ch  | apter 1. Introduction   |
|     | Benjamin Vogel  |
| 1.  | Research Object and Context                                       |
| 2.  | Objective of the Study  |
| 3.  | Starting Hypotheses and Key Questions                             |
| 4.  | Methodology   |
| Th  | e Emergence of Public–Private Partnerships in Criminal Policy     |
| Ch  | apter 2. Financial Public-Private Partnerships in the Netherlands |
|     | Eleni Kosta, Magdalena Brewczyńska and Bart van der Sloot 13      |
| Int | roduction   |
| 1.  | The Emergence of the Public-Private Partnerships                  |
| 2.  | Dutch Taskforces on AML and CFT                                   |
| 3.  | The Fintell Alliance  |
| 4.  | Legality of Data Sharing  |
| 5.  | Conclusions   |
| Ch  | apter 3. The Joint Money Laundering Intelligence Taskforce        |
| in  | the United Kingdom: A Critique of an Emerging Model for a         |
| Pu  | blic-Private Information-Sharing Partnership in the Fight against |
| Mo  | oney Laundering and Terrorist Financing                           |
|     | Jonathan Fisher   |
| Int | roduction   |
| 1.  | JMLIT   |
| 2.  | JMLIT and the Investigative Process                               |
| 3.  | Critical Analysis   |
| 4.  | Legal Foundations   |
| 5.  | Conclusion  |

Intersentia

|      | apter 4. Socio-Legal Foundations of Public-Private Partnerships   |
|------|---|
| in t | he System of Crime Control  |
|      | Nandor Knust  |
| Inti | roduction91   |
| 1.   | Basic Features of PPP Design                                      |
| 2.   | Crime-Control PPPs in the Past and Present                        |
| 3.   | Lessons Learnt  |
| 4.   | Sources of Conflict in PPPs in Crime Control                      |
|      |   |
| Pre  | vious Experiences of Public–Private Cooperation in the Detection  |
| and  | l Investigation of Crime  |
| Ch   | apter 5. Interaction between the State and Private Actors         |
|      | he Detection and Investigation of Crime in France                 |
|      | Maxime Lassalle   |
|      |   |
|      | roduction   |
| 1.   | Private Entities and Criminal Procedure                           |
| 2.   | Private Entities and Internal Security                            |
| 3.   | The AML/CFT Framework   |
| 4.   | Controlled Deliveries and Provocation of Crime by Authorities 168 |
| 5.   | Police–Informer Relationships                                     |
| 6.   | Corporate Wrongdoing  |
| 7.   | Cybersecurity   |
| 8.   | Platforms' Responsibility for Illegal Content                     |
| 9.   | Conclusion  |
| Ch   | apter 6. Private Parties' Contribution to the Detection and       |
|      | estigation of Crime under German Law                              |
| 1111 | Benjamin Vogel  |
|      | Dengammin (CGEE)  |
| Air  | n and Layout of this Analysis                                     |
| 1.   | Obligations of Private Parties in Criminal Proceedings            |
| 2.   | Measures against Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing 205     |
| 3.   | Informers   |
| 4.   | Internal Investigations   |
| 5.   | Prevention through Interaction between the Police and Private     |
|      | Parties   |
| 6.   | Obligations of Internet Services                                  |
| 7.   | Interaction between Intelligence Services and Private Parties     |
| 8.   | Conclusion  |

X Intersentia

| Cha  | apter 7. Public-Private Cooperation in the Detection and Investigation |
|------|--|
| of ( | Criminal Offences in Italy   |
|      | Giulia Lasagni   |
| Intr | oduction   |
| 1.   | Private Actors as Addressees of Production Orders                      |
| 2.   | Private-Sector Involvement in AML and CFT                              |
| 3.   | Informants   |
| 4.   | Internal Investigations  |
| 5.   | Obligations of Service Providers                                       |
| 6.   | Conclusion   |
| Cha  | apter 8. Public-Private Collaboration in Criminal Law Enforcement      |
| in S | Spain: Involvement of Private Actors in the Detection and              |
| Inv  | estigation of Crimes   |
|      | Lorena Bachmaier Winter  |
| Intr | oduction   |
| 1.   | Private Legal Entities as Witnesses                                    |
| 2.   | AML/CFT  |
| 3.   | Controlled Deliveries/Provocation of Crime by Authorities              |
| 4.   | Whistle-Blowing  |
| 5.   | Police–Informer Relationships  |
| 6.   | Corporate Wrongdoing and Internal Investigations                       |
| 7.   | Cybercrime Detection/Reporting/Erasure Obligations                     |
| 8.   | Intelligence Services         360                                      |
| 9.   | Some Conclusions   |
| The  | Applicable Data Protection Framework                                   |
| Cha  | apter 9. The EU Data Protection Framework                              |
|      | Magdalena Brewczyńska and Eleni Kosta                                  |
| 1.   | Introduction   |
| 2.   | An Overview of the AML/CFT Regulatory Landscape                        |
| 3.   | Information Sharing within the Existing EU AML/CFT Legal               |
|      | Framework  |
| 4.   | Information Sharing Beyond the EU AML/CFT Legal Framework 397          |
| 5.   | Information, Intelligence and Personal Data                            |
| 6.   | The European Data Protection Legal Framework                           |
| 7.   | Data Protection Actors in the AML/CFT Context                          |
| 8.   | Data Protection Principles in the AML/CFT Context                      |
| 9.   | Rights of Data Subjects  |

Intersentia Xi

| 10.  | Including Profiling  |
|------|--|
| 11.  | Sensitive Data   |
| 12.  | Transborder Flows of Personal Data   |
| 13.  | Conclusions and Recommendations  |
| Ch   | apter 10. How Technology and Law Interact to Combat Financial Crime Aaron Martin, Silvia De Conca, Eleni Kosta and Manos Roussos 483   |
| Inti | roduction  |
| 1.   | Technology in AML and CFT  |
| 2.   | Legal Implications of the Use of Data-Driven Technologies  |
|      | in AML and CFT518  |
| Cha  | apter 11. Transnational Public-Private Cooperation in a Repressive   |
|      | ntext: Understanding the Legal Framework for EU-US Transfers   |
|      | Financial Data   |
|      | Maxime Lassalle  |
| 1.   | Introduction   |
| 2.   | Existing Practices   |
| 3.   | Conformity of these Practices with International Law 560   |
| 4.   | Reconciliation of these Practices with Blocking Statutes 575   |
| 5.   | The Need to Clarify the Role of Blocking Statutes  |
| 6.   | Conclusion   |
| Сот  | nclusions and Recommendations  |
| the  | apter 12. Developing Public-Private Information Sharing to Strengthen Fight against Money Laundering and Terrorism Financing: commendations for the European Union and its Member States |
|      | Benjamin Vogel and Maxime Lassalle 605   |
| 1.   | Public-Private Information Sharing as One Way to Improve the   |
| _    | Performance of AML/CFT   |
| 2.   | The Rise of Information-Sharing Public-Private Partnerships 613  |
| 3.   | Challenges and Guiding Principles for Regulating Public-to-Private   |
|      | Information Sharing  |
| 4.   | Developing a Legal Framework for Public-to-Private Information   |
|      | Sharing in AML/CFT672  |
| An   | nex to Report on the EU Data Protection Framework  |
|      | Magdalena Brewczyńsка and Eleni Kosta741   |

Xii Intersentia

#### LIST OF AUTHORS

Lorena Bachmaier Winter

Professor of Law, Complutense University of Madrid, Spain

Magdalena Brewczyńska

Postdoctoral researcher, Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology and Society (TILT), Tilburg University, the Netherlands

Silvia De Conca

Assistant Professor in Law and Technology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Jonathan Fisher KC

Practising barrister at Red Lion Chambers, London; Senior Fellow (and Visiting Professor in Practice) at the London School of Economics

Giulia Lasagni

Senior Assistant Professor in Criminal Procedure, Department of Legal Studies, University of Bologna, Italy

Eleni Kosta

Full Professor of Technology, Law and Human Rights, Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology and Society (TILT), Tilburg University, the Netherlands

Nandor Knust

Associate Professor, Law Faculty, UiT The Arctic University of Norway

Maxime Lassalle

University of Burgundy, France; Max Planck Institute for the Study of Crime, Security and Law, Germany

Aaron Martin

Assistant Professor of Media Studies and Data Science, University of Virginia, United States

Manos Roussos

Doctoral researcher, Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology and Society (TILT), Tilburg University, the Netherlands

Intersentia Xiii

List of Authors

Bart van der Sloot

Associate Professor, Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology and Society (TILT), Tilburg University, the Netherlands

Benjamin Vogel

Senior Researcher, Department of Criminal Law, Max Planck Institute for the Study of Crime, Security and Law, Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany

XİV Intersentia